EXHIBIT 4

United States District Court

EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

IMPERIUM IP HOLDINGS (CAYMAN),	§	
LTD.	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION No. 4:14-CV-371
v.	§	Judge Mazzant
	§	
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,	§	
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,	§	
INC., SAMSUNG	§	
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,	§	
LLC, AND SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTO	R, §	
INC.	§	

VERDICT OF THE JURY

We, the Jury, find as follows:

Please answer "Yes" or "No" for each question.

The '884 Patent

QUESTION ONE

Has Imperium proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung infringes

Claim 1 of the '884 patent?

Yes

No

If you answered "Yes" to Question One, please proceed to Question Two, if you answered "No," then proceed to Question Four.

QUESTION TWO

Has Imperium pro	en by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung infringe
Claim 5 of the '884 paten	
Yes	
No	

Please proceed to Question Three.

QUESTION THREE

Has Imperium proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung infringes Claim 6 of the '884 patent?

Yes _____No

Please proceed to Question Four.

QUESTION FOUR

Has Imperium proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung infringes

Claim 14 of the '884 patent?

Yes _____No

If you answered "Yes" to Question Four, please proceed to Question Five, if you answered "No," then proceed to Question Six.

QUESTION FIVE

Has Imperium proven	by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung infringes
Claim 17 of the '884 patent?	

Yes _____No

Please proceed to Question Six.

QUESTION SIX

NOTE: If you answered "NO" to both Question One and Question Four, proceed directly to Question Seven. If, instead, you answered "YES" to either Question One or Question Four, please answer the following question.

Has Imperium proven by clear and convincing evidence that Samsung willfully infringed a claim of the '884 patent?

Yes _____No

Please proceed to Question Seven.

QUESTION SEVEN

Has Samsung proven by a clear and convincing evidence that Claim 1 of the '884 patent is invalid as anticipated?

Yes ______No

If you answered "Yes" to Question Seven, please proceed to Question Eight, if you answered "No," then proceed to Question Ten.

QUESTION EIGHT

Has Samsung proven by a clear and convincing evidence that Claim 5 of the '884 paten
is invalid (either anticipated or obvious)?
Yes
No
Please proceed to Question Nine.
QUESTION NINE
Has Samsung proven by a clear and convincing evidence that Claim 6 of the '884 patent
is invalid as obvious?
Yes
No
Please proceed to Question Ten.
QUESTION TEN
Has Samsung proven by a clear and convincing evidence that Claim 14 of the '884 patent
is invalid (either anticipated or obvious)?
Yes
No
If you answered "Yes" to Question Ten, please proceed to Question Eleven, if you

If you answered "Yes" to Question Ten, please proceed to Question Eleven, if you answered "No," then proceed to Question Twelve.

QUESTION ELEVEN

Has Samsung proven by a clear and convincing evidence that Claim 17 of the '884 patent is invalid (either anticipated or obvious)?

Please proceed to Question Twelve.

QUESTION TWELVE

If, and only if, you determined that at least one the asserted claims of the '884 patent (Claims 1, 5, 6, 14, 17) was both proven to be infringed and was not proven to be invalid, what sum of money do you find, from a preponderance of the evidence, would fairly and reasonable compensate Imperium for Samsung's infringement of the '884 patent?

Please answer in dollars and cents.

Answer: \$ 4, 840, 772

Please proceed to Question Thirteen.

The '290 Patent

QUESTION THIRTEEN

Has Imperium proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung infringes Claim 10 of the '290 patent?

Yes	
No	

If you answered "Yes" to Question Thirteen, please proceed to Question Fourteen, if you answered "No," then proceed to Question Fifteen.

QUESTION FOURTEEN

Has Imperium proven by clear and convincing evidence that Samsung willfully infringed

a claim of the '290 patent?
Yes
No
Please proceed to Question Fifteen.
QUESTION FIFTEEN
Has Samsung proven by a clear and convincing evidence that Claim 10 of the '290 patent
is invalid as obvious?
Yes
No
Please proceed to Question Sixteen.
QUESTION SIXTEEN
If, and only if, you answered "YES" to Question Thirteen and answered "NO" question
Fifteen, what sum of money do you find, from a preponderance of the evidence, would fairly and
reasonable compensate Imperium for Samsung's infringement of the '290 patent?
Please answer in dollars and cents.
Answer: \$
Please proceed to Question Seventeen.

The '029 Patent

QUESTION SEVENTEEN

Has Imperium proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung infringe
--

nim 1 of the '029 patent?
Yes
No
If you answered "Yes" to Question Seventeen, please proceed to Question Eighteen,
if you answered "No," then proceed to Question Nineteen.
QUESTION EIGHTEEN
Has Imperium proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung infringes
tim 6 of the '029 patent?
Yes
No
Please proceed to Question Nineteen.
QUESTION NINETEEN
Has Imperium proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung infringes
im 7 of the '029 patent?
Yes
No

Please proceed to Question Twenty.

QUESTION TWENTY

NOTE: If you answered "NO" to both Question Seventeen and Question Nineteen, proceed directly to Question Twenty-One. If, instead, you answered "YES" to either Question Seventeen or Question Nineteen, please answer the following question.

Has Imperium proven	by clear and	l convincing	evidence the	at Samsung	willfully	infringed
a claim of the '029 patent?						

Yes _____No

Please proceed to Question Twenty-One.

QUESTION TWENTY-ONE

Has Samsung proven by a clear and convincing evidence that Claim 1 of the '029 patent is invalid as obvious?

Yes ______No _____

If you answered "Yes" to Question Twenty-One, please proceed to Question Twenty-Two, if you answered "No," then proceed to Question Twenty-Three.

QUESTION TWENTY-TWO

Has Samsung proven by a clear and convincing evidence that Claim 6 of the '029 patent is invalid as obvious?

Yes ______No _____

Please proceed to Question Twenty-Three.

QUESTION TWENTY-THREE

Has Samsung proven by a clear and convincing evidence that Claim 7 of the '029 patent is invalid as obvious?

Yes ______

Please proceed to Question Twenty-Four.

QUESTION TWENTY-FOUR

If, and only if, you determined that at least one the asserted claims of the '029 patent (Claims 1, 6, 7) was both proven to be infringed and was not proven to be invalid, what sum of money do you find, from a preponderance of the evidence, would fairly and reasonable compensate Imperium for Samsung's infringement of the '029 patent?

Please answer in dollars and cents.

Answer: \$ 2,129,608.50

Date: 2-8-2016